OREA

Legislative Brief

FY2011–12 Tennessee Judicial Weighted Caseload Study Update

Susan Mattson, Principal Legislative Research Analyst (615) 401-7884/ <u>Susan.Mattson@cot.tn.gov</u>

January 2013

Introduction and Background

The 1997 appropriations bill¹ passed by the General Assembly required the Comptroller's Office to conduct a judicial weighted caseload study to provide policymakers an objective means to determine the need for judicial resources. The Comptroller's Office contracted with the National Center for State Courts in 1998 to conduct a time study to determine the case weights that are used to calculate workload and full-time equivalent judges (FTE judges) needed by each judicial district. In 2007, the Comptroller's Office contracted with the National Center of State Courts to develop a revised weighted caseload model for Tennessee's general jurisdiction trial judges based on a new time study and case filings in FY 2006.² The 2007 model was adjusted in 2009 to better reflect the time required to handle complex appeals from administrative hearings in District 20 (Davidson County). Tennessee Code Annotated (TCA) 16-2-513 requires the Comptroller of the Treasury to update the judicial weighted caseload study annually to assess the workload and need for judicial resources, or FTE judges. This update provides estimates based on cases filed in FY 2012.

The estimated number of FTE judges that courts need is calculated by multiplying the total number of case filings by case weights (average minutes per case for each type of case) and dividing that number by the judges' annual availability for case-specific work.

The quantitative weighted caseload model can approximate judicial workload and the need for judicial resources, but it has limitations. Other factors, such as availability of judicial support staff and local legal practices, also affect judicial resources. State officials should always consider these and other court-specific factors when assessing the need for judicial resources. The quantitative weighted caseload model can approximate judicial workload and the need for judicial resources, but it has limitations. Other factors, such as availability of judicial support staff and local legal practices, also affect judicial resources. State officials should always consider these and other court-specific factors when assessing the need for judicial resources.



Exhibit 1: Filings by Case Type, FY 2012

Note: Numbers do not add to 100 because of rounding. Source: Chart produced by Office of Research staff with data provided by the Tennessee Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC).

- ¹ Public Chapter 552 (1997), Section 12, Item 35
- ² National Center for State Courts, *Tennessee Trial Courts, Judicial Weighted Caseload Study*, 2007, <u>http://www.comptroller.tn.gov/</u>. See study for a complete explanation of methodology and qualitative issues to consider.

Analysis and Conclusions Case Filings

In FY 2012, 203,561 cases were filed in Tennessee's state courts. Criminal cases accounted for 43 percent of cases, followed by domestic relations cases at 29 percent and civil cases at 27 percent. (See Exhibit 1.)

Overall, filings increased from the previous year by 586 cases (three-tenths of a percent). Criminal cases increased by about one percent, civil cases decreased by about one percent, and domestic relations cases increased one-tenth of one percent. The largest changes included an increase in the number of probation violations (2,204 cases) and a decrease in contract/debt/specific performance cases (1,092 cases). The number of major felony, other felony, DUI, criminal appeals, damages/torts, real estate, and divorce with children cases decreased substantially (over 100 cases each). The number of guardianship/ conservatorship, probate/trust, other general civil, workers compensation, child support (outside of divorce), divorce without children, and orders of protection cases increased substantially (over 100 cases each). Exhibit 2 shows the changes in case filings by type of case.

Exhibit 2: Changes in Trial Court Case Filings by Case Type, FY 2010 to FY 2012

Case Type	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	Change FY11 - FY12	Percent Change
Criminal	84,272	86,779	87,791	1,012	1.2%
Major Felony (A, B, capital cases)	8,862	8,549	8,028	-521	-6.1%
Other Felony (C, D, E)	35,455	36,337	35,990	-347	-1.0%
DUI	3,801	3,629	3,411	-218	-6.0%
Drug Court	849	866	871	5	0.6%
Criminal Appeals (including juvenile delinquency)	670	575	473	-102	-17.7%
Other Misdemeanor	9,980	10,049	10,040	-9	-0.1%
Probation Violation	24,655	26,774	28,978	2,204	8.2%
Civil	57,758	56,221	55,746	-475	-0.8%
Administrative Hearings Routine	344	417	384	-33	-7.9%
Administrative Hearings Complex (a)	67	65	73	8	12.3%
(Davidson County Only)					
Contract/Debt/Specific Performance	8,862	7,721	6,629	-1,092	-14.1%
Damages/Tort	10,145	10,233	9,928	-305	-3.0%
Guardianship/Conservatorship	2,198	2,208	2,406	198	9.0%
Judicial Hospitalization	633	618	571	-47	-7.6%
Juvenile Court Appeal (Civil)	221	244	250	6	2.5%
Medical Malpractice	324	343	374	31	9.0%
Probate/Trust	11,322	12,005	12,513	508	4.2%
Other General Civil	14,189	13,260	13,432	172	1.3%
Real Estate	1,652	1,528	1,427	-101	-6.6%
Workers Compensation	7,801	7,579	7,759	180	2.4%
Domestic Relations	60,829	59,975	60,024	49	0.1%
Child Support (outside of divorce)	13,987	13,450	13,594	144	1.1%
Divorce with Children	14,301	14,186	13,785	-401	-2.8%
Divorce without Children	17,401	17,401	17,562	161	0.9%
Protection of Children	3,849	3,941	3,856	-85	-2.2%
Orders of Protection	8,414	8,237	8,379	142	1.7%
Other Domestic Relations	2,877	2,760	2,848	88	3.2%
Total Filings	202,859	202,975	203,561	586	0.3%

Note: (a) Administrative hearings complex are estimated at 35% of total administrative hearings for Davidson County only. Source: Calculations by Office of Research staff based on data provided the AOC.

Full Time Equivalent Judges

Based on FY 2012 case filing data and workload, the state has an estimated net excess of 6.65 FTE judges. (See Exhibit 3.) The weighted caseload update for FY 2011 showed an estimated excess of 3.45 FTE judges. The FY 2010 update showed an estimated excess of 1.06 FTE judges. The change in FY 2012 is due primarily to a decrease in the more time-intensive contract/debt/specific performance cases and major felonies.

Exhibit 4 shows the estimated deficit or excess of FTE judges by district over time.^{3,4} According to the weighted caseload model, four districts show an estimated need for judges in FY 2012 by 0.8 (rounded) FTE judge or more:

- District 19 (Montgomery and Robertson counties) shows a need for 2.04 FTE judges in FY 2012, and has shown a need for over one judge for the last seven years.
- District 20 (Davidson County) shows a need for 0.94 FTE judges for FY 2012, and has shown a need for one to three additional judges for the last seven years.

According to the weighted caseload model, five districts show an estimated excess in FY 2012 by 0.8 (rounded) FTE judge or more:

- District 30 (Shelby County) shows an excess of 4.03 FTE judges in FY 2012, compared to an excess of 2.73 judges in FY 2011, and 2.32 judges in FY 2010.
- District 9 (Loudon, Meigs, Morgan, and Roane counties) shows an excess of .80 FTE judges in FY 2012, and has shown an excess of about one judge for the last seven years.
- District 11 (Hamilton County) shows an excess of 1.07 FTE judges in FY 2012 and .94 FTE judges in FY 2011.
- District 17 (Bedford, Lincoln, Marshall, and Moore) shows an excess of 1.06 FTE judges in FY 2012 and .75 FTE judges in FY 2011.
- District 3 (Greene, Hamblen, Hancock, and Hawkins) shows an excess of .86 judges in FY 2012 and .82 judges in FY2010.
- District 24 (Benton, Carroll, Decatur, Hardin, and Henry) shows an excess of .85 judges in FY 2012.

State Net FTE Judges	FY 06	FY 07	FY 08	FY 09	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12
Total Judicial Resources (FTE Judges)	152.00	152.00	152.00	152.00	152.00	152.00	152.00
Total Judicial Resources Needed (FTE Judges)	157.13	152.10	150.64	150.29	150.94	148.55	145.35
Net excess or deficit in Judicial Resources (FTE Judges)	-5.13	-0.10	1.36	1.71	1.06	3.45	6.65

Exhibit 3: Yearly Trend in Number of Judicial Resources (FTE Judges)

Source: Calculations by Office of Research staff based on data provided by the AOC.

³ See Appendix A for a map of Tennessee Judicial Districts.

⁴ See Appendix B for the detailed calculations of judicial resource need statewide and by judicial district.

Exhibit 4: Difference between Actual Number of Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Judges and Need for FTE Judges by District, FY 2008 – FY 2012

Judicial District (Counties)	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
District 1 (Carter, Johnson, Unicoi, and	0.34	0.48	0.28	0.36	0.54
Washington)					
District 2 (Sullivan)	0.40	0.56	0.67	0.78	0.64
District 3 (Greene, Hamblen, Hancock,and Hawkins)	0.62	0.63	0.82	0.60	0.86
District 4 (Cocke, Grainger, Jefferson, and Sevier)	-0.15	-0.48	-0.16	-0.33	-0.26
District 5 (Blount)	0.28	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.04
District 6 (Knox)	0.10	0.00	-0.66	0.21	0.36
District 7 (Anderson)	0.10	0.11	-0.16	-0.17	-0.04
District 8 (Campbell, Claiborne, Fentress, Scott, and Union)	-0.33	-0.19	-0.55	-0.44	-0.26
District 9 (Loudon, Meigs, Morgan, and Roane)	1.11	0.98	1.04	0.87	0.80
District 10 (Bradley, McMinn, Monroe, and Polk)	0.01	-0.16	-0.31	0.04	-0.28
District 11 (Hamilton)	0.66	1.13	0.41	0.94	1.07
District 12 (Bledsoe, Franklin, Grundy, Marion, Rhea, and Sequatchie)	-0.15	-0.23	-0.12	-0.39	-0.39
District 13 (Clay, Cumberland, DeKalb, Overton, Pickett, Putnam, and White)	-0.09	0.03	0.19	-0.04	-0.09
District 14 (Coffee)	0.81	0.86	0.67	0.54	0.60
District 15 (Jackson, Macon, Smith, Trousdale, and Wilson)	0.00	0.15	0.53	0.46	0.27
District 16 (Cannon and Rutherford)	-0.18	-0.58	-0.34	-0.59	-0.45
District 17 (Bedford, Lincoln, Marshall, and Moore)	0.48	0.55	0.58	0.75	1.06
District 18 (Sumner)	-0.52	-0.55	-0.71	-0.49	-0.29
District 19 (Montgomery and Robertson)	-1.81	-1.94	-1.90	-1.58	-2.04
District 20 (Davidson)	-1.87	-2.87	-0.78	-1.20	-0.94
District 21 (Hickman, Lewis, Perry, and Williamson)	-0.70	-0.87	-1.63	-0.79	-0.62
District 22 (Giles, Lawrence, Maury, and Wayne)	-1.03	-0.89	-1.13	-1.04	-0.53
District 23 (Cheatham, Dickson, Houston, Humphreys, and Stewart)	-0.43	-0.33	-0.29	-0.24	-0.28
District 24 (Benton, Carroll, Decatur, Hardin, and Henry)	0.58	0.77	0.64	0.61	0.85
District 25 (Fayette, Hardeman, Lauderdale, McNairy, and Tipton)	-0.09	-0.02	-0.07	0.14	0.34
District 26 (Chester, Henderson, and Madison)	0.37	0.23	0.14	0.10	0.40
District 27 (Obion and Weakley)	0.45	0.53	0.43	0.64	0.36
District 28 (Crockett, Gibson, and Haywood)	0.44	0.55	0.58	0.53	0.54
District 29 (Dyer and Lake)	0.33	0.62	0.60	0.53	0.59
District 30 (Shelby)	1.66	2.47	2.32	2.73	4.03
District 31 (Van Buren and Warren)	-0.02	-0.05	-0.25	-0.30	-0.24
Statewide Excess or Deficit FTE Judges	1.36	1.71	1.06	3.45	6.65

Source: Calculations by Office of Research staff based on data provided by the AOC.



District 1–Carter, Johnson, Unicoi, and Washington Counties District 2–Sullivan County

- District 3-Greene, Hamblen, Hancock, and Hawkins Counties
- District 4-Cocke, Grainger, Jefferson, and Sevier Counties
- District 5-Blount County
- District 6-Knox County
- District 7 Anderson County
- District 8 Campbell, Claiborne, Fentress, Scott, and Union Counties
- District 9 Loudon, Meigs, Morgan, and Roane Counties
- District 10 Bradley, McMinn, Monroe, and Polk Counties
- District 11 Hamilton County
- District 12 Bledsoe, Franklin, Grundy, Marion, Rhea, and Sequatchie Counties
- District 13 Clay, Cumberland, DeKalb, Overton, Pickett, Putnam, and White Counties
- District 14 Coffee County
- District 15 Jackson, Macon, Smith, Trousdale, and Wilson Counties
- District 16 Cannon and Rutherford Counties
- District 17 Bedford, Lincoln, Marshall, and Moore Counties
- District 18 Sumner County
- District 19 Montgomery and Robertson Counties
- District 20 Davidson County
- District 21 Hickman, Lewis, Perry, and Williamson Counties
- District 22 Giles, Lawrence, Maury, and Wayne Counties
- District 23 Cheatham, Dickson, Houston, Humphreys, and Stewart Counties
- District 24 Benton, Carroll, Decatur, Hardin, and Henry Counties
- District 25 Fayette, Hardeman, Lauderdale, McNairy, and Tipton Counties
- District 26 Chester, Henderson, and Madison Counties
- District 27 Obion and Weakley Counties
- District 28 Crockett, Gibson, and Haywood Counties
- District 29 Dyer and Lake Counties
- District 30 Shelby County
- District 31 Van Buren and Warren Counties

Source: Administrative Office of the Courts, 2006.

Appendix B: Tennessee Judicial Weighted Caseload Update, FY 2012, Case Filings per Judicial District

	1	Case Filings per Judicial District					
	Case Type	Case Weight	1	2	3	4	5
	Major Felony (A, B, capital cases)	182	178	177	127	177	29
	Other Felony (C, D, E)	45	1,101	991	1,241	1,496	628
a	DUI	74	63	41	80	127	9
Criminal	Drug Court	140				30	30
5	Criminal Appeals (incl. juvenile delinquency)	50	40	7	0	11	4
	Other Misdemeanor	24	230	166	258	572	162
	Probation Violation	17	1,361	815	583	1,411	835
	Administrative Hearings Routine	240	5	1	5	10	0
	Administrative Hearings-Complex-Davidson Co.	1800					
	Contract/Debt/Specific Performance	115	217	129	173	343	95
ъ	Damages/Tort	82	224	213	242	281	181
튣	Guardianship/Conservatorship	41	58	38	59	35	8
VIIV	Judicial Hospitalization	17	2	20	1	0	5
General Civil/Other	Juvenile Court Appeal (Civil)	35	4	6	17	10	0
era	Medical Malpractice	679	6	20	2	1	0
eu	Probate/Trust	20	564	578	673	171	1
G	Other General Civil	42	383	406	430	345	389
	Real Estate	180	46	21	53	70	24
	Workers Compensation	41	53	39	78	84	38
s	Child Support (outside of divorce)	20	349	199	1,062	1,034	325
ţ	Divorce with Children	94	519	358	483	544	172
Domestic Relations	Divorce without Children	32	720	507	631	688	202
LC O	Protection of Children						
esti	(paternity,adoption,legitimation,surrender,TPR)	47	164	69	193	169	138
E	Orders of Protection	18	28	266	196	567	0
	Other Domestic Relations	6	388	12	280	110	243
	Total Filings		6,703	5,079	6,867	8,286	3,518
	Workload (Weights x Filings)		297,357	243,463	293,390	367,170	143,150
	Judge Year (210 days per year, 7.5 hrs per day)		94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500
	Average District Travel per year		8,094.26	2,149.00	3,906.50	4,863.64	1,680.00
	Non-case related Time (1.57 hrs/day)		19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796
	Availability for Case-Specific Work		66,610	72,555	70,797	69,840	73,024
	#Judges		5	4	5	5	2
	Total Jud. Off. Demand		4.46	3.36	4.14	5.26	1.96
	FTE Deficit or Excess		0.54	0.64	0.86	-0.26	0.04
	Criminal Judges Needed		1.78	1.36	1.44	2.18	0.78
	Civil Judges Needed		1.34	1.14	1.27	1.45	0.67
	Domestic Relations Judges Needed		1.35	0.86	1.44	1.62	0.51
	Child Support Magistrate		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

	Case Type	Case Weight	6	7	8	9	10	11
	Major Felony (A, B, capital cases)	182	337	98	147	88	277	505
	Other Felony (C, D, E)	45	1,555	565	1,140	645	1,100	1,779
a	DUI	74	163	78	58	56	125	196
Criminal	Drug Court	140	80	30	30		30	30
5	Criminal Appeals (incl. juvenile delinquency)	50	4	23	1	0	3	49
	Other Misdemeanor	24	280	206	118	70	174	1,175
ic Relations General Civil/Other	Probation Violation	17	1,468	544	1,214	276	1,306	1,229
	Administrative Hearings Routine	240	5	0	18	7	6	11
	Administrative Hearings-Complex-Davidson Co.	1800						
	Contract/Debt/Specific Performance	115	621	51	117	111	222	362
	Damages/Tort	82	1,010	149	210	156	311	670
Ĕ	Guardianship/Conservatorship	41	658	11	39	30	45	314
Ĕ.	Judicial Hospitalization	17	56	0	0	0	0	133
5	Juvenile Court Appeal (Civil)	35	28	11	1	10	3	7
τΩ	Medical Malpractice	679	34	5	3	1	9	32
E	Probate/Trust	20	1,353	292	316	234	456	859
5	Other General Civil	42	1,098	240	239	141	375	854
	Real Estate	180	58	17	60	60	57	72
	Workers Compensation	41	801	123	73	92	134	444
s	Child Support (outside of divorce)	20	620	222	413	1,037	523	204
5	Divorce with Children	94	980	162	230	72	620	692
The second se	Divorce without Children	34	1,288	210	228	108	777	970
ř	Protection of Children	52	1,200	210	220	100		570
Stic	(paternity,adoption,legitimation,surrender,TPR)	47	294	118	81	97	195	257
B	Orders of Protection	18	2,563	111	2	37	635	709
ŝ	Other Domestic Relations	6	2,503	351	22	4	11	256
_		0	99	- 551	22	4		200
	Total Filings		15,453	3,617	4,760	3,332	7,394	11,809
	Workload (Weights x Filings)		718,217	151,647	221,422	147,396	356,827	590,917
	Judge Year (210 days per year, 7.5 hrs per day)		94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500
	Average District Travel per year		218.46	218.46		7,804.27		218.46
	Non-case related Time (1.57 hrs/day)					'	'	
	Non-case related time (1.57 his/day)		19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796
	Availability for Case-Specific Work		74,485	74,485	67,998	66,900	67,530	74,485
	# Judges		10	2	3	3	5	9
	Total Jud. Off. Demand		9.64	2.04	3.26	2.20	5.28	7.93
	FTE Deficit or Excess		0.36	-0.04	-0.26	0.80	-0.28	1.07
	Criminal Judges Needed		2.52	0.93	1.63	0.84	2.09	3.26
	Civil Judges Needed		4.36	0.62	1.03	0.82	1.50	2.97
	Domestic Relations Judges Needed		2.77	0.02	0.61	0.55	1.70	1.70
	Child Support Magistrate			Yes				No
	onnu Support magistrate	l	Yes	res	Yes	Yes	Yes	NU

		1	_				
	Case Type	Case Weight	12	13	14	15	16
	Major Felony (A, B, capital cases)	182	187	322	109	159	318
	Other Felony (C, D, E)	45	988	1,282	453	1,027	1,115
nal	DUI	74	114	238	22	117	138
Criminal	Drug Court	140	25			25	50
٦ D	Criminal Appeals (incl. juvenile delinquency)	50	10	18	0	13	8
	Other Misdemeanor	24	303	714	120	451	479
	Probation Violation	17	879	1,448	278	566	1,110
	Administrative Hearings Routine	240	2	6	1	13	8
	Administrative Hearings-Complex-Davidson Co.	1800					
	Contract/Debt/Specific Performance	115	101	175	49	140	196
ы	Damages/Tort	82	205	273	125	209	438
듕	Guardianship/Conservatorship	41	35	82	16	63	37
General Civil/Other	Judicial Hospitalization	17	6	3	0	8	2
ö	Juvenile Court Appeal (Civil)	35	19	9	0	5	3
eral	Medical Malpractice	679	5	5	1	3	6
ene	Probate/Trust	20	258	413	184	551	31
G	Other General Civil	42	279	253	107	249	982
	Real Estate	180	82	107	16	46	39
	Workers Compensation	41	90	196	48	115	182
s	Child Support (outside of divorce)	20	1,147	341	136	150	505
Relations	Divorce with Children	94	387	434	140	354	689
<u>e</u>	Divorce without Children	32	438	528	193	500	782
	Protection of Children						
esti	(paternity,adoption,legitimation,surrender,TPR)	47	92	171	27	130	164
Domestic	Orders of Protection	18	156	7	4	49	785
Ō	Other Domestic Relations	6	167	11	17	19	226
	Total Filings		5,975	7,036	2,046	4,962	8,293
	Workload (Weights x Filings)		265,928	347,983	103,922	244,531	402,953
	Judge Year (210 days per year, 7.5 hrs per day)		94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500
	Average District Travel per year		14,172.68	6,309.21	218.46	9,104.51	721.71
	Non-case related Time (1.57 hrs/day)		19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796
	Availability for Case-Specific Work		60,53 1	68,395	74,485	<u>65,599</u>	73,982
	# Judges		4	5	2	4	5
	Total Jud. Off. Demand		4.39	5.09	1.40	3.73	5.45
	FTE Deficit or Excess		-0.39	-0.09	0.60	0.27	-0.45
	Criminal Judges Needed		1.88	2.60	0.67	1.66	2.12
	Civil Judges Needed		1.16	1.42	0.41	1.16	1.66
	Domestic Relations Judges Needed		1.36	1.07	0.32	0.91	1.67
	Child Support Magistrate		Yes	No	No	No	Yes

	Case Type	Case Weight	17	18	19	20	21	22
	Major Felony (A, B, capital cases)	182	65	107	268	1,394	104	255
	Other Felony (C, D, E)	45	294	726	1,045	3,261	890	1,055
a	DUI	74	1	39	137	405	145	179
Criminal	Drug Court	140		100		150	75	
5	Criminal Appeals (incl. juvenile delinquency)	50	0	17	37	55	9	20
	Other Misdemeanor	24	20	121	553	809	274	427
	Probation Violation	17	86	659	847	3,269	712	1,302
	Administrative Hearings Routine	240	10	2	7	135	43	16
	Administrative Hearings-Complex-Davidson Co.	1800				73		
	Contract/Debt/Specific Performance	115	60	142	222	1,006	346	149
ы.	Damages/Tort	82	101	213	359	1,312	249	198
General Civil/Other	Guardianship/Conservatorship	41	33	89	57	244	86	59
- NIIV	Judicial Hospitalization	17	0	6	0	230	1	2
ō	Juvenile Court Appeal (Civil)	35	2	2	4	17	8	17
<u>la</u>	Medical Malpractice	679	1	7	3	70	3	8
eu	Probate/Trust	20	380	506	475	1,543	496	556
G	Other General Civil	42	209	330	528	1,575	467	284
	Real Estate	180	16	44	43	69	54	32
	Workers Compensation	41	93	76	107	3,279	92	178
s	Child Support (outside of divorce)	20	484	329	936	770	418	767
ţi	Divorce with Children	94	297	473	1,001	880	576	428
ela	Divorce without Children	32	360	512	1,106	1,419	467	498
Domestic Relations	Protection of Children							
esti	(paternity,adoption,legitimation,surrender,TPR)	47	57	131	166	147	153	122
Ē	Orders of Protection	18	35	458	10	1,191	7	209
	Other Domestic Relations	6	12	31	6	320	28	74
	Total Filings		2,616	5,120	7,917	23,623	5,703	6,835
	Workload (Weights x Filings)		122,995	244,815	398,466	1,399,105	304,977	305,343
	Judge Year (210 days per year, 7.5 hrs per day)		94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500
	Average District Travel per year		11,465.78	218.46	8,695.76	831.35	8,629.71	7,268.07
	Non-case related Time (1.57 hrs/day)		19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796
	Availability for Case-Specific Work		63,238	74,485	66,008	73,873	66,074	67,436
	# Judges		3	3	4	18	4	4
	Total Jud. Off. Demand		1.94	3.29	6.04	18.94	4.62	4.53
	FTE Deficit or Excess		1.06	-0.29	-2.04	-0.94	-0.62	-0.53
	Criminal Judges Needed		0.43	1.13	2.06	7.20	1.51	2.10
	Civil Judges Needed		0.68	1.05	1.60	9.38	1.81	1.22
	Domestic Relations Judges Needed		0.84	1.10	2.38	2.36	1.29	1.21
	Child Support Magistrate		No	No	Yes	No	No	No

							I
	Case Type	Case Weight	23	24	25	26	27
	Major Felony (A, B, capital cases)	182	177	157	236	167	99
	Other Felony (C, D, E)	45	986	443	829	744	221
la	DUI	74	154	20	56	57	5
Criminal	Drug Court	140	35			60	40
5	Criminal Appeals (incl. juvenile delinquency)	50	76	16	6	3	1
	Other Misdemeanor	24	425	35	29	254	25
	Probation Violation	17	799	470	1,049	713	244
	Administrative Hearings Routine	240	3	2	3	5	2
	Administrative Hearings-Complex-Davidson Co.	1800					
	Contract/Debt/Specific Performance	115	78	63	163	241	33
er	Damages/Tort	82	143	159	175	242	48
General Civil/Other	Guardianship/Conservatorship	41	24	50	94	16	39
VII.	Judicial Hospitalization	17	0	0	95	0	1
ö	Juvenile Court Appeal (Civil)	35	3	4	10	7	0
ela	Medical Malpractice	679	3	3	6	4	2
eu	Probate/Trust	20	161	367	286	107	213
G	Other General Civil	42	187	147	309	324	141
	Real Estate	180	35	43	36	22	14
	Workers Compensation	41	35	111	40	137	645
s	Child Support (outside of divorce)	20	380	63	117	120	142
Ē	Divorce with Children	94	331	194	334	552	146
e 9	Divorce without Children	32	414	211	856	968	181
С С	Protection of Children						
esti	(paternity,adoption,legitimation,surrender,TPR)	47	196	55	62	78	29
Domestic Relations	Orders of Protection	18	58	3	39	5	0
ŏ	Other Domestic Relations	6	9	3	6	7	39
	Total Filings		4,712	2,619	4,836	4,833	2,310
	Workload (Weights x Filings)		227,095	139,915	239,954	262,733	113,204
	Judge Year (210 days per year, 7.5 hrs per day)		94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500
	Average District Travel per year		5,362.22	9,760.73	9,213.92	1,655.21	5,620.91
	Non-case related Time (1.57 hrs/day)		19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796
	Availability for Case-Specific Work		69,342	64,943	65,490	73,049	69,083
	# Judges		3	3	4	4	2
	Total Jud. Off. Demand		3.28	2.15	3.66	3.60	1.64
	FTE Deficit or Excess		-0.28	0.85	0.34	0.40	0.36
	Criminal Judges Needed		1.75	0.92	1.59	1.31	0.56
	Civil Judges Needed		0.63	0.78	1.08	1.07	0.73
	Domestic Relations Judges Needed		0.90	0.45	0.99	1.22	0.35
	Child Support Magistrate		Yes	No	No	No	No

	Case Filings per Judicial District						
	Case Type	Case Weight	28	29	30	31	Totals
	Major Felony (A, B, capital cases)	182	98	106	1,453	107	8,028
Criminal	Other Felony (C, D, E)	45	386	458	7,205	341	35,990
	DUI	74	17	3	525	43	3,411
	Drug Court	140		26		25	871
5	Criminal Appeals (incl. juvenile delinquency)	50	6	6	14	16	473
	Other Misdemeanor	24	48	64	1,276	202	10,040
	Probation Violation	17	234	325	2,583	363	28,978
	Administrative Hearings Routine	240	3	8	47	0	384
	Administrative Hearings-Complex-Davidson Co.	1800					73
Jer 1	Contract/Debt/Specific Performance	115	56	19	923	26	6,629
₫	Damages/Tort	82	78	49	1,648	57	9,928
- Ni	Guardianship/Conservatorship	41	43	26	1	17	2,406
0	Judicial Hospitalization	17	0	0	0	0	571
General Civil/Other	Juvenile Court Appeal (Civil)	35	4	0	37	2	250
Ger	Medical Malpractice	679	3	6	120	2	374
Ŭ	Probate/Trust	20	249	99	7	134	12,513
	Other General Civil	42	133	145	1,780	103	13,432
	Real Estate	180	26	16	132	17	1,427
	Workers Compensation	41	39	42	243	52	7,759
Domestic Relations	Child Support (outside of divorce)	20	285	293	158	65	13,594
ati	Divorce with Children Divorce without Children	94 32	156	152	1,344	85	13,785
Å	Protection of Children	32	128	159	1,448	65	17,562
stic	(paternity,adoption,legitimation,surrender,TPR)	47	25	15	229	32	3,856
E B	Orders of Protection	18	1	50	223	196	8,379
å	Other Domestic Relations	6	2	7	67	21	2,848
	Total Filings Workload (Weights x Filings)	-	2,020 102,435	2,074	21,242	1,971	203,561 10,292,602
			-			-	
	Judge Year (210 days per year, 7.5 hrs per day)		94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500	94,500
	Average District Travel per year		4,508.05			362.25	
	Non-case related Time (1.57 hrs/day)		19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796	19,796
	Availability for Case-Specific Work		70,196	74,078	74,489	74,342	70,868
	# Judges		2	2	22	1	152
	Total Jud. Off. Demand		1.46	1.41	17.97	1.24	145.35
	FTE Deficit or Excess		0.54	0.59	4.03	-0.24	6.65
	Criminal Judges Needed		0.60	0.69	9.48	0.72	59.78
	Civil Judges Needed		0.49	0.35	5.97	0.30	50.10
	Domestic Relations Judges Needed		0.37	0.37	2.52	0.22	35.47
	Child Support Magistrate		No	No	No	No	



OFFICES OF RESEARCH AND EDUCATION ACCOUNTABILITY Phillip Doss, Director Suite 1700, James K. Polk Building = 505 Deaderick Street Nashville, Tennessee 37243 = (615) 401-7866 <u>http://www.comptroller.tn.gov/OREA/</u>

